

I disagree with Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. This move is clearly an attempt to support one presidential campaign over another. It is not a news event and works against the public interest.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, they tend to put profits over what is good for the local community. It's important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.